CHECKLIST TO DESIGNATE AREAS OF EVALUATION FOR PROPOSAL

RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION ONLY

REQUISITION NUMBER DUE DATE TIME DUE 05/13/19 2746 noon est CONTROL SECTION (CS) MDOT PROJECT MANAGER JOB NUMBER (JN) **Brad Peterson** PROBLEM TITLE AND OR # OR19-100 -Developing a Consistent Data Driven Methodology to Multimodal, Performance Based, and Context Sensitive Design MDOT PROJECT MANAGER: Check all items to be included CONSULTANT: Provide only checked items below in in RFP. proposal When applicable, Best Value scoring criteria is listed separately in the RFP. **Optional items are determined by the MDOT Project Manager. Check the appropriate Tier in the box below X TIER II TIER III TIER I (\$100,000 - \$250,000) (\$250,000-\$1,500,000) (>\$1,500,000) X Understanding of Service Qualifications of Team X X Quality Assurance/Quality Control X Location: The percentage of work performed in Michigan will be used for all selections unless the project is for on-site inspection or survey activities, then location should be scored using the distance from the consultant office to the on-site inspection or survey activity. X Past Performance 20 pages (MDOT 20 pages (MDOT Total maximum pages for RFP not including key personnel 20 pages (MDOT Forms not counted)

PROPOSAL AND BID SHEET E-MAIL ADDRESS - MDOT-RFP-Response@Michigan.gov

The Consultants will receive an e-mail reply/notification from MDOT when the proposal is received. Please retain a copy of this e-mail as proof that the proposal was received on time. Consultants are responsible for ensuring that MDOT receives the proposal on time.

personnel.

resumes. Resumes limited to 2 pages per key staff

* Contact Contract Services Division immediately at 517-373-4680 if you do not get an auto response.

forms not counted)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Resumes will only

be accepted for Best Value Selections.

Any questions relative to the scope of services must be submitted by e-mail to MDOT-Research@Michigan.gov. Questions must be received by the Project Manager at least five (5) working days prior to the due date and time specified above. All questions and answers will be placed on the MDOT website as soon as possible after receipt of the questions, and at least three (3) days prior to the RFP due date deadline. The names of vendors submitting questions will not be disclosed.

MDOT is an equal opportunity employer and MDOT DBE firms are encouraged to apply. The participating DBE firm, as currently certified by MDOT's Office of Equal Opportunity, shall be listed in the Proposal.

MDOT FORMS REQUIRED AS PART OF PROPOSAL SUBMISSION

Forms not counted)

5100D - Request for Proposal Cover Sheet

5100J - Consultant Data and Signature Sheet (Required for all firms performing non-prequalified services on this project.) Schedule of Research Activities Form - Appendix B

Deliverables Table - Appendix A

Research Proposal Budget Form Worksheet Appendix C (Universities)

Budget Exhibits required In Priced Proposal Guidelines (Consultants)

(These forms are not included in the proposal maximum page count.)

Page 1 of 2

MDOT 5100B-R (03/19) Page 2 of 2

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is seeking professional services for the project contained in the attached scope of services.

If your firm is interested in providing services, please indicate your interest by submitting a Proposal, Proposal/Bid Sheet or Bid Sheet as indicated below. The documents must be developed and submitted in accordance with the latest http://www.Michigan.gov/documents/mdot/MDOT Consultant Vendor Selection Guidelines for Research Administration 01-2013 408228 7.pdf

RFP SPECIFIC INFORMATION		
ENGINEERING SERVICES BUREAU OF TRA	ANSPORTATION PLANNING X	OTHER
THE SERVICE WAS POSTED ON THE ANTICIPATED QUARTERLY	REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS	
X NO YES	DATED TH	IROUGH
Prequalified Services – See the attached Scope of Services for required Prequalification Classifications.	Non-Prequalified Services must make sure that current fina labor rates, overhead computation is on file with MDOT's Office This information must be on file all sub vendors so that the contract 5100J is required with proposa non-prequalified services on this	ncial information, including s, and financial statements, e of Commission Audits for the prime vendor and at will not be delayed. Form I for all firms performing
Qualification Based Selection - Use Consultant/Vendor	Selection Guidelines.	
For all Qualifications Based Selections, the selection team considered most qualified to perform the services based on the proposal. Negotiations will be conducted with the firm selected	proposals. The selected firm will be	e asked to prepare a priced
For a cost plus fixed fee contract, the selected vendor must contract. This type of system has a job-order cost accounting under its contracts. Each project is assigned a job number so job-order accounting system.	g system for the recording and accu	umulation of costs incurred
Best Value – Use Consultant/Vendor Selection Guideline The bid amount is a component of the total proposal score, not	es, See Bid Sheet Instructions below the determining factor of the selection	<i>i</i> for additional information.
BID SHEET INSTRUCTIONS		
Bid Sheet(s) are located at the end of the Scope of Services MDOT-RFP-Response@Michigan.gov . Failure to comply wi consideration. MDOT reserves the right to reject any and all bids	th this procedure may result in ye	
PARTNERSHIP CHARTER AGREEMENT		
MDOT and ACEC created a Partnership Charter Agreement w successful partnering. Both the Consultant and MDOT MDOTPartnership Charter Agreement and are asked to follow and guidance's contained therein.	Project Manager are reminder	d to review the ACEC-
The prime consultant can be a private or public university for the successful completion of the service and is expected to		

The prime consultant must be a Michigan university. The prime consultant/vendor is responsible for the

successful completion of the service and is expected to perform at least 40 percent of the services, by dollar value.

FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR NON-PREQUALIFIED VENDORS

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/Financial_Requirements_for_Non_Prequalified_Ven_dors_605817_7.pdf

E-VERIFY REQUIREMENTS

E-Verify is an Internet based system that allows an employer, using information reported on an employee's Form I-9, Employment Eligibility Verification, to determine the eligibility of that employee to work in the United States. There is no charge to employers to use E-Verify. The E-Verify system is operated by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) in partnership with the Social Security Administration. E-Verify is available in Spanish.

The State of Michigan is requiring, under Public Act 200 of 2012, Section 381, that as a condition of each contract or subcontract for construction, maintenance, or engineering services that the pre-qualified contractor or subcontractor agree to use the E-Verify system to verify that all persons hired during the contract term by the contractor or subcontractor are legally present and authorized to work in the United States.

Information on registration for and use of the E-Verify program can be obtained via the Internet at the DHS Web site: http://www.dhs.gov/E-Verify.

The documentation supporting the usage of the E-Verify system must be maintained by each consultant and be made available to MDOT upon request.

It is the responsibility of the prime consultant to include the E-Verify requirement documented in this NOTIFICATION in all tiers of subcontracts.

DIGITAL SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTS

On <u>January 1, 2018</u>, Contract Services Division intends to fully implement the use of CoSign as the exclusive software for digitally signing all consultant contracts and consultant contract related documents. All other digital signing methods will no longer be accepted.

Prior to using CoSign, all external partners must apply for a free digital signature user account by submitting a MDOT Digital Signature Certificate Request Form.

MDOT INSURANCE UPDATED 3.9.17

At a minimum, the insurance types and limits identified below, may be required from the selected consultant, prior to contract award.

Required Limits	Additional Requirements					
Commercial General L	iability Insurance					
Minimal Limits: \$1,000,000 Each Occurrence Limit \$1,000,000 Personal & Advertising Injury Limit \$2,000,000 General Aggregate Limit \$2,000,000 Products/Completed Operations	Consultants must have their policy endorsed to add "the State of Michigan, its departments, divisions, agencies, offices, commissions, officers, employees, and agents" as additional insureds					
Automobile Liabil	ty Insurance					
Minimal Limits: \$1,000,000 Per Occurrence						
Workers' Compensa	tion Insurance					
Minimal Limits: Coverage according to applicable laws governing work activities.	Waiver of subrogation, except where waiver is prohibited by law.					
Employers Liabili	ty Insurance					
Minimal Limits: \$500,000 Each Accident \$500,000 Each Employee by Disease \$500,000 Aggregate Disease						
Professional Liability (Errors a	nd Omissions) Insurance					
Minimal Limits: \$1,000,000 Per Claim						

The Insurer shall provide at least thirty (30) days written notice of cancellation. The Prime Consultant will be responsible to verify subconsultant(s) compliance with MDOT's insurance requirements.

Michigan Department of Transportation

SCOPE OF SERVICE FOR RESEARCH SERVICES

TITLE: Developing a Consistent Data Driven Methodology to Multimodal, Performance Based, and

Context Sensitive Design **OR#:** 19-100

LOCATION: Statewide

WORK DESCRIPTION: Research on Developing a Consistent Data Driven Methodology to

Multimodal, Performance Based, and Context Sensitive Design

ANTICIPATED START DATE: 10/1/2019

ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE: 10/3/2022

The budget for this project cannot exceed \$443,252.25

MDOT RESEARCH PROJECT ADMINISTRATION MANAGER:

Brad Peterson

425 W. Ottawa

Lansing, Michigan 48933

E-MAIL: mdot-research@michigan.gov

GENERAL INFORMATION:

1. PROBLEM TO ADDRESS

MDOT designers are tasked with understanding the context of a community and balancing modal needs with limited funding resources. Transportation decisions are currently made from input provided by communities. This is often in a reactive rather than proactive process, with qualitative data and quantitative data evaluated separately to assist in transportation decision making. Methods for evaluating modal characteristics have typically been applied to individual modes. A new methodology is needed that will analyze and aggregate qualitative and quantitative pertinent data to assist in making decisions on competing interests such as to what roadway features should be designed and/or what mode(s) of transportation should be implemented for a given highway corridor.

2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- 1. Develop an understanding of the national best practices.
- 2. Define the data needed for multimodal, performance based and context sensitive design decisions.
- 3. Develop a data driven quantitative way to define the context of all transportation modes and demands and the long-term needs in a community.
- 4. Determine a consistent repeatable design decision methodology based on appropriate standards, contextual circumstances and performance history.
- 5. Apply this methodology to a diverse set of community projects to demonstrate its practical use in various transects

3. URGENCY AND IMPLEMENTATION BENEFIT TO MDOT

This project will be integrated into the future development of a new Development Manual process. The purpose of the new document will be to assist MDOT with modal analysis and project prioritization, through the process of a project development flow chart and step decision-making tree. The research and tool development from this project will provide data analysis at each step in the decision-making tool and will provide data justification for decisions at each step in the Development Manual process flow.

Currently MDOT makes many modal decisions based-upon community driven desires in a more reactive modal of development. Often these decisions come after funding and other resources have been allocated to the project. This project and deliverables will assist with data driven justification for modal decision-making much earlier in the project development process, so that the best decision can be made through the CSS process for modal balance and need on a specific corridor. A additional outcome is the better planning for true project scope and costs earlier in the project development process.

4. RISKS OR OBSTACLES TO RESEARCH

- 1. It will be challenging to conduct the research when available data sets may not cover state-wide areas
- 2. Data sets needs for evaluation may not be collected to date.
- 3. Methodology needed for aggregating qualitative and quantitative data sets will need specific outcome direction. This may be policy driven and could change over time.
- 4. Tools developed must be adaptable to future changes in transportation policy initiatives

5. DESIRED QUALIFICATIONS IN AN INVESTIGATOR(S)

The Principle Investigator should have a PHD in Urban & Regional Planning, Transportation Planning, Civil Engineering, or Landscape Architecture with supporting staff on the team providing expertise in these areas.

At least one college series of statistics courses and working experience in statistical analyses.

CONSULTANT RESPONSIBILITIES:

- 1. Provide and develop a listing of the national best practices.
- 2. Obtain and compile data needed for multimodal, performance based and context sensitive design decisions.
 - 2A. Review MDOT's existing processes.
 - 2B. Review MDOT's existing data sets and tools.
- 3. Develop a more quantitative and qualitative aggregated data analysis to define the context of all transportation modal demands and long-term needs in a community and transportation corridor.
- 4. Evaluate the methodology by applying it to a diverse set of community projects. Evaluation to include the use of a Focus Group made up of the following representative members: Planners, MPOs, Consultant Designers, and MDOT employees.
- 5. Develop a process for modal options and create a way to assign weighted values.

Failure of any of the above will be found in noncompliance with the contract.

DELIVERABLES:

- 1. Report the national best practices, to be completed nine months after project start.
- 2. Create a summary report of available data and MDOT's current practices, datasets, and tools to be completed one year after the project start.
- 3. Provide analytical process for collection, aggregation, and maintenance of qualitative and quantitative data sets pertinent to the development decision making tools for context driven modal development. Creation of a decision tree flow should be included to illustrate the proposed process, to be completed two years after the project start.
- 4. Provide tool sets for data aggregation and evaluation for MDOT corridor analysis, to be completed two years after the project start.
- 5. Case studies applying tools and processes to a diverse set of community projects, to be completed 2.5 years after the project start.
- 6. Final Report of findings to include: PDF and Hard Copy to be provided at the project end.
- 7. Excel files of all analysis processes and evaluation process, to be provided at the project end.
- 8. ArcGIS files generated as part of the analysis and data aggregation processes, to be provided at the project end.
- 9. Training in use of the analysis tools created, to be provided at the end of the project

MDOT RESPONSIBILITIES:

MDOT will provide review input as requested and provide guidance and feedback on the project at the project milestones or at request of the consultant. MDOT will provide access to the consultant for MDOT data sets currently being collected for inventory and analysis purposes.

COORDINATION PROCEDURES

Work will be completed in compliance with the Research Implementation Manual.

CONSULTANT PAYMENT

All billings for services must be directed to the Department and follow the current Research Implementation Manual. This document contains instructions and forms that must be followed and used for billing. Payment may be delayed or decreased if the instructions are not followed.

Payment to the Consultant for services rendered shall not exceed the maximum amount unless an increase is approved in accordance with the contract with the Consultant.

Direct expenses, if applicable, will not be paid in excess of that allowed by the Department for its own employees in accordance with the State of Michigan's Standardized Travel Regulations. Supporting documentation must be submitted with the billing for all eligible expenses on the project in accordance with the Reimbursement Guidelines. The only hours that will be considered allowable charges for this contract are those that are directly attributable to the activities of this project.

The use of overtime hours is not acceptable unless prior <u>written</u> approval is granted by the MDOT project manager. Reimbursement for overtime hours that are allowed will be limited to time spent <u>on this project</u> in excess of forty hours per person per week. Any variations to this rule should be included in the priced proposal submitted by the Consultant and must have prior written approval by the MDOT project manager.

The basis of payment is Actual Costs for Universities and Actual Costs plus Fixed Fee for Consultants as defined in standard MDOT contracts.

PROPOSAL INFORMATION AND SCORING

Formal proposals are required and shall include the information as outlined in these Guidelines. This section is the information required in the proposal that will be used to score the qualifications of each consultant's proposal. The section numbering correlates to the score sheet. Therefore, the consultant should format their proposals consistent with the outline provided.

1. UNDERSTANDING OF SERVICE: 40 POINTS

Describe understanding of the service intended to be proposed. This information is to be based on the scope of services.

Problem Statement and Background Summary- demonstrates good understanding of problem, looks objectively at problem, specifies problem limits and restricts scope appropriately, and cites relevant literature.

Research Plan- cites specific objectives clearly, technical approach responds to all written and implied requirements, difficult areas are identified and details to overcome are given, represents novel idea or technical approach, plan is feasible, and effort is consistent with scope of problem.

Products and Implementation- proposal clearly defines products to be delivered at completion, includes practical, realistic implementation plan.

MDOT Involvement- MDOT involvement is not excessive and is clearly defined and quantified.

2. QUALIFICATIONS OF TEAM: 30 POINTS -

Describe the structure of the project team including the roles of all key personnel and subcontractors. For each subcontractor describe role in service and include what percent of the task that the subcontractor is expected to provide. Provide résumés for each of the key staff of the prime and subcontractor.

Facilities- proposer has adequate access to equipment and/or laboratory required in study.

Staffing- personnel availability is clearly defined, shows a depth of qualified personnel, proposer has ability to manage a project of this size an sufficient resources to complete study, qualifications are directly related to the requirements of the project, plans for specific key personnel assignment included, and there is a reasonable balance between subcontractor and prime contractor.

Statistical Qualification- The required knowledge level for a research team in statistical analyses, if defined, will be in the RFP under the heading DESIRED QUALIFICATIONS IN AN INVESTIGATOR(S).

Proposals not documenting statistical training and experience levels required in the RFP may be classified as non-responsive.

3. RELEVANT PAST PERFORMANCE: 30 POINTS

Past performance project scores will be reviewed and/or past project references will be contacted. **Record of past accomplishment**- proposer satisfactorily completed past projects, was cooperative and flexible, and ended past projects according to the original budget and time schedule.

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QAQC) PLAN: 5 POINTS

The proposer provided an outline of a QA/QC process. The QA/QC Manager is experienced with MDOT standards and practices.

5. LOCATION: 5 POINTS

The percentage of work hours performed in Michigan will be used for all selections unless the project is for on-site inspection or survey activity. The combination of location and percentage of work performed in Michigan should not exceed 5 points.

Percentage of Work To Be Done in Michigan Score

Beore	
95% to 100%	5
80% to 94%	4
50% to 79%	3
25% to 49%	2
10% to 24%	1
Less than 10%	0

6. PRICE: 40 POINTS

Cost score is based on the lowest cost proposed divided by the current proposer cost multiplied by 40. Lowest bid shall receive 40 points.

TOTAL POINTS: 150

-				Resear	ch Propo	sal Budg	et Form W	orksheet				
	oject Title											
Research Org												
	Date											
								FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	TOTAL
ALARIES & WAGES	MUST C	OMPLY W	ITH OMB C	IRCULAR	A-21		_	-	_	=	_	
pecify number of hou												
xamples of role of ind	ividual are	Principal In	vestigator,	Technician,	Grad Stud	ent, etc. An	nual wage inc	creases must not	t exceed 2%			
ole of individual)												
ame of individual	5	E) (0 .	E) (0.1	E) (0	E) (0.1	5)//	=>//					
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs	# 0.00	# 0.00	# 0.00	#0.00	¢ο.
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual) ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs			1		
ate & hrs	1 1 1 1115	i iz iale	1-121115	1 13 Tale	1-131118	i i 4 iale	1 141115	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)					l			ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	Ψ0.
ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)								ψ0.00	ψο.σσ	ψ0.00	ψο.σσ	ψυ.
ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)												
ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)	_			_		_						
ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs			1		
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
role of individual)												
ame of individual	EV4 b	E)/0/	EVO I	E)/0	EVO I	EV/4 == 1	E)/4 h ==					
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs	CO 00	20.00	¢0.00	(0, 00	
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual) ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs			1		
ate & hrs	FILIIS	riziale	17121118	risiale	131118	r 14 late	F14111S	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
210 G III 3					Qub.T	otal Salary	& Wages	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
					Jubil	otai Galai y	w mages	ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	ψU

FRINGE BENEFITS MUS Indicate Employee, appropri			f who the rate applies to.					
e.g Sam Smith, 25%, St			n the university and it's cogn	zant agency				
lame Rate Description)								
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
lame	112	110	117	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	Ψ0.0
Rate Description)								
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
lame								
Rate Description)	F)/0	E)/0	57/4	# 0.00	Ф0.00	# 0.00	Ф0.00	# 0.0
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
Rate Description)								
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
lame				,	,	,	,	7-10
Rate Description)								
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
lame								
Rate Description)	FY2	FY3	FY4	CO 00	#0.00	CO OO	CO OO	ድር (
% rate) FY1	FTZ	ΓTS	Г14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
Rate Description)								
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
Name		_						
Rate Description)								
% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
		Sub-Total Fringe Ben	efits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
SUBCONTRACTOR MUS	CT COMPLY WITH O	AD CIDCUI AD A 24						
			ved subcontract is required for	or				
	•		t contain subcontractor work.					
ubcontractors on a separa	te line.							
Subcontractor Name & Ar								\$0.0
Liboontrootor Nom- O A.	nt.							\$0.0
ubcontractor Name & Al		Sub-Total Subcontra	ector	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
bubcontractor Name & Al								
	/ WITH OMB CIRCU	AD A 24						
RAVEL MUST COMPL								
RAVEL MUST COMPL'	IDS contract requirem	nents.						
RAVEL MUST COMPL lust be in accordance with n-State Travel (Destinati	IDS contract requirem	nents.						
RAVEL MUST COMPL flust be in accordance with n-State Travel (Destination Provide a separate table ite	IDS contract requirem ons within Michigan) emizing costs.	nents.						\$0.0
RAVEL MUST COMPL' Must be in accordance with n-State Travel (Destination of the second of the sec	IDS contract requirem ons within Michigan) emizing costs. r approval required)	nents.						\$0.0
RAVEL MUST COMPLY Must be in accordance with n-State Travel (Destination of the control of	IDS contract requirem ons within Michigan) emizing costs. r approval required)	nents.						
RAVEL MUST COMPLY Must be in accordance with n-State Travel (Destination Provide a separate table ite Dut-of-State Travel (Prior	IDS contract requirem ons within Michigan) emizing costs. r approval required)	nents.	Sub-Total Travel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0

SUPPLIES MUST COMP	PLY WITH OMB CIRCULAR A	Δ-21 (Few i	tems not a	llowed are: comp	uters n	rinters monito	rs fay machi	nes nrinter n	aner toner c	artridaes
	clips, rubber bands, post-it	•		•		•	•		•	•
	cards, staplers, waste cans		oks, noteb	ooks, billuers, loi	uci 3, ui	skettes, posta	je stamps, em	ans, onice re	irriture, caler	iuai 3,
	eds \$2,000. Individual line ite		ss of \$1.000	O require a detaile	l explana	ation regardless	of total cost			
(Description)			σσ σ. φσσ.	o require a actaile.	· oxpiail	<u> </u>	0. 1014. 0001			\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)					_					\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)				Sub-Total Supp	ios	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				Sub-Total Supp	169	ψ0.00	φυ.υυ	ψ0.00	ψυ.υυ	ψ0.00
CARITAL EQUIRMENT A	MUST COMPLY WITH OMB	CIDCIII AD	A 24 Dur	shaced enseities	lv for th	io project				
	MUST COMPLY WITH OMB (scess of \$500. Equipment in				ly for th	is project				
(Description)	Locas or \$500. Equipment in	CVCCOO OI A	o,ooo requi	ies piloi appiovai.						\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)							_			\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
										\$0.00
(Description)					-		-			
(Description)					_		-			\$0.00
(Description)				Sub-Total Equipm		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
costs (e.g. graduate studen (Description) (Description)	es, etc.) Any project expens it tuition).									\$0.00 \$0.00
· /					_					
(Description)					_		-			\$0.00
(Description)					_					\$0.00
(Description)			0 1 7			# 0.00	# 0.00	# 0.00	# 0.00	\$0.00
			Sub-1	otal Other Expen	ses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				T (10 1 T		# 0.00	# 0.00	# 0.00	Φ0.00	Фо оо
				Total Sub-Tot	ais	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	T COMPLY WITH OMB CIRC tiated between the university	_		ncy. Indicate the t	pe of ne	egotiated indired	ct rate used an	d the percenta	age (e.g. On C	ampus
(Type)		(%)								
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4		1			1	
Enter \$ Amt per FY						\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
, s. 4 por				Total Indirect Co	osts	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
						**···	+	Ŧ-:	* - · I	71100
			TOT	AL PROJECT CO	STS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	UNIVERSITY MATCHING FUNDS						ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	\$0.00
				OT PROJECT CO		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
			I O I AL MID	OT PROJECT CO	J. U	ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	ψυ.υυ	ψ0.00	ψυ.υι